

ON THE PROPERTY OF SUBALGEBRAS OF EVOLUTION ALGEBRAS

L.M. CAMACHO, A.KH. KHUDOYBERDIYEV, B.A. OMIROV

ABSTRACT. In this paper we study subalgebras of complex finite dimensional evolution algebras. We obtain the classification of nilpotent evolution algebras whose any subalgebra is an evolution subalgebra with a basis which can be extended to a natural basis of algebra. Moreover, we formulate three conjectures related to description of such non-nilpotent algebras.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2010: 17D92, 17D99.

Key Words and Phrases: evolution algebra, evolution subalgebra, nitpotency.

1. INTRODUCTION

Nowadays, the algebraic approach is effectively used in the study of the genetics, dynamical systems in population biology. In 20s and 30s of the last century the new object was introduced to mathematics, which was the product of interactions between Mendelian genetics and mathematics. One of the first scientist who gave an algebraic interpretation of the “ \times ” sign, which indicated sexual reproduction was Serebrowsky [13]. Etherington introduced the formal language of abstract algebra to the study of the genetics [6]-[7]. An algebraic approach in genetics consists of the study of various types of genetic algebras (like algebras of free, “self-reproductive” and bisexual populations, Bernstein algebras). Until 1980s, the most comprehensive reference in this area was Wörz-Busekros’s book [15]. A good survey on algebraic structure of genetic inheritance is the Reed’s article [11]. More recent results, such as genetic evolution in genetic algebras, can be found in the Lyubich’s book [10].

Recently in the book of J.P. Tian [14] a new type of evolution algebra was introduced. This algebra describes some evolution laws of the genetics. The study of evolution algebras constitutes a new subject both in algebra and the theory of dynamical systems. In the Tian’s book a foundation of the framework of the theory of evolution algebras is established and some applications of evolution algebras in the theory of stochastic processes and genetics are discussed. Evolution algebras are in general non-associative and do not belong to any of the well-known classes of non-associative algebras. In fact, nilpotency, right nilpotency and solvability might be interpreted in a biological way as a various types of vanishing (“deaths”) populations. Although an evolution algebra is an abstract system, it gives an insight for the study of non-Mendelian genetics. For instance, an evolution algebra can be applied to the inheritance of organelle genes, one can predict, in particular, all possible mechanisms to establish the homoplasmy of cell populations.

Recently, Rozikov and Tian [12] studied algebraic structures of evolution algebras associated with Gibbs measures defined on some graphs. In the papers [2], [5], [9] derivations, some properties of chain of evolution algebras and dibaricity of evolution algebras were studied. Certain algebraic properties of evolution algebras (like right nilpotency, nilpotency and solvability etc.) in terms of matrix of structural constants have been investigated in [1], [3], [4].

It is remarkable that a subalgebra and an ideal of a genetic algebra of population, biologically can be interpreted correspondingly as a subpopulation and a dominant subpopulation with respect to mating.

This paper is devoted to study of subalgebras of finite dimensional evolution algebras.

In order to achieve our goal we organize the paper as follows. In Section 2, we give some necessary notions and preliminary results about evolution algebras. We consider several types of subalgebras of evolution algebras and present examples of difference of such subalgebras as well. Section 3 is devoted to description of evolution algebras of permutations satisfying that any subalgebra is evolution subalgebra with a natural basis which can be extended to a natural basis of the algebra (condition P). For the list of two-dimensional evolution algebras we identify their subalgebras. In Section 4, we classify the nilpotent complex evolution algebras satisfying the condition P . In Section 5, we formulate three conjectures related to the description of such non-nilpotent algebras.

Through the paper all algebras are assumed complex and finite dimensional.

2. PRELIMINARIES.

In this section we give necessary definitions and preliminaries results for understanding main results of the paper. Let us define the main object of this work - evolution algebra.

Definition 2.1. [14] Let E be an algebra over a field F . If it admits a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ such that

$$e_i \cdot e_j = 0 \quad \text{for } i \neq j, \quad e_i \cdot e_i = \sum_k a_{i,k} e_k \quad \text{for any } i,$$

then algebra E is called evolution algebra.

The basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$ is said to be *natural basis of evolution algebra* E . It is remarkable that this type of algebra depends on natural basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots\}$.

We denote by $A = (a_{ij})$ the matrix of the structural constants of the evolution algebra E .

Definition 2.2. [14] Let E be an evolution algebra and E_1 be a subspace of E . If E_1 has a natural basis $\{e_i \mid i \in \Lambda_1\}$ which can be extended to a natural basis $\{e_j \mid j \in \Lambda\}$ of E , then E_1 is called an evolution subalgebra, where Λ_1 and Λ are index sets and Λ_1 is a subset of Λ .

In fact, for the linear subspace E_1 of evolution algebra E we can consider three conceptions of subalgebras.

- (1) E_1 is subalgebra in ordinary sense;
- (2) E_1 is subalgebra and there exists a natural basis of E_1 ;
- (3) E_1 is subalgebra and there exists a natural basis of E_1 which can be extended to a natural basis of E .

Note that Definition 2.2 agrees with the third conception of subalgebra.

Below we present examples which show that conceptions 1 - 3 are different in general.

Example 2.3. Let E be a three dimensional evolution algebra with a natural basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and the table of multiplication

$$e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_1 + e_2, \quad e_2 \cdot e_2 = -e_1 - e_2, \quad e_3 \cdot e_3 = e_2 + e_3.$$

It is not difficult to see that $E_1 = \langle e_1 + e_2, e_2 + e_3 \rangle$ is a subalgebra, but E_1 is not an evolution subalgebra (that is, there does not exist a natural basis of E_1).

Indeed, if we assume the contrary, i.e., in the subspace E_1 there exists a natural basis $\{f_1, f_2\}$, then

$$f_1 = \alpha_1(e_1 + e_2) + \alpha_2(e_2 + e_3), \quad f_2 = \beta_1(e_1 + e_2) + \beta_2(e_2 + e_3).$$

with $\alpha_1\beta_2 - \alpha_2\beta_1 \neq 0$.

From the condition $f_1 \cdot f_2 = 0$ we derive

$$\alpha_1 = \alpha_2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha_2 = \beta_2 = 0 \quad \text{or} \quad \beta_1 = \beta_2 = 0.$$

Consequently, we get a contradiction with the assumption that $\{f_1, f_2\}$ is a natural basis of E_1 .

Example 2.4. Let E be a three dimensional evolution algebra with a natural basis $\{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$ and the following table of multiplication

$$e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3, \quad e_2 \cdot e_2 = -e_1 - e_2 + e_3, \quad e_3 \cdot e_3 = 0.$$

It is not difficult to see that $E_1 = \langle e_1 + e_2, e_3 \rangle$ is an evolution algebra with a natural basis $\{e_1 + e_2, e_3\}$, but this basis can not be extended to a natural basis of evolution algebra E .

If we assume that there exists a natural basis $\{f_1, f_2\}$ of E_1 such that $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ is a natural basis of E , then

$$f_1 = \alpha_1(e_1 + e_2) + \alpha_2e_3, \quad f_2 = \beta_1(e_1 + e_2) + \beta_2e_3, \quad f_3 = \gamma_1e_1 + \gamma_2e_2 + \gamma_3e_3.$$

From conditions $f_1 \cdot f_3 = f_2 \cdot f_3 = 0$ we deduce $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$ or $\gamma_1 = \gamma_2 = 0$. Therefore, we get a contradiction with the assumption that $\{f_1, f_2, f_3\}$ is a basis.

For the sake of convenience, we introduce the following definition.

Definition 2.5. An evolution algebra E is said to satisfy a condition P if any subalgebra of E is an evolution subalgebra with a natural basis which can be extended to a natural basis of E .

In [14] the conditions for basis transformations that preserve naturalness of the basis are given. The relation between the matrices of structure constants in a new and old natural basis is established in terms of new defined operation on matrices, as well. Since the relation is not practical for our further purposes, we give the following brief version of isomorphism.

Let us consider non-singular linear transformation T of a given natural basis $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$ with a matrix $(t_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}$ in this basis and

$$f_i = \sum_{j=1}^n t_{ij}e_j, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

This transformation is isomorphism if and only if $f_i \cdot f_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.

In the following theorem we present a list (up to isomorphism) of 2-dimensional evolution algebras.

Theorem 2.6. [4] *Any 2-dimensional non-abelian evolution algebra E is isomorphic to one of the following, pairwise non-isomorphic, algebras:*

(1) $\dim E^2 = 1$

- E_1 : $e_1e_1 = e_1$,
- E_2 : $e_1e_1 = e_1, \quad e_2e_2 = e_1$,
- E_3 : $e_1e_1 = e_1 + e_2, \quad e_2e_2 = -e_1 - e_2$,
- E_4 : $e_1e_1 = e_2$.

(2) $\dim E^2 = 2$

- E_5 : $e_1e_1 = e_1 + a_2e_2, \quad e_2e_2 = a_3e_1 + e_2, \quad 1 - a_2a_3 \neq 0$, where $E_5(a_2, a_3) \cong E'_5(a_3, a_2)$,
- E_6 : $e_1e_1 = e_2, \quad e_2e_2 = e_1 + a_4e_2$,
where for $a_4 \neq 0$, $E_6(a_4) \cong E_6(a'_4) \Leftrightarrow \frac{a'_4}{a_4} = \cos \frac{2\pi k}{3} + i \sin \frac{2\pi k}{3}$ for some $k = 0, 1, 2$.

Consider the following k -dimensional evolution algebras

$$ES_k : \begin{cases} e_i \cdot e_i = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ e_k \cdot e_k = e_1, \end{cases} \quad EN_k : \begin{cases} e_i \cdot e_i = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq k-1, \\ e_k \cdot e_k = 0. \end{cases}$$

In [8], the authors describe a complex evolution algebra $E_{n,\pi}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ with a basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ and the table of multiplications as follows:

$$\begin{cases} e_i \cdot e_i = a_i e_{\pi(i)}, & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ e_i \cdot e_j = 0, & i \neq j, \end{cases}$$

where π is an element of the group of permutations S_n . Namely, the following assertion is true.

Theorem 2.7. *An arbitrary evolution algebra $E_{n,\pi}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ is isomorphic to a direct sum of evolution algebras $ES_{p_1}, ES_{p_2}, \dots, ES_{p_s}, EN_{k_1}, EN_{k_2}, \dots, EN_{k_r}$, i.e.,*

$$E_{n,\pi}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n) \cong ES_{p_1} \oplus ES_{p_2} \oplus \dots \oplus ES_{p_s} \oplus EN_{k_1} \oplus EN_{k_2} \oplus \dots \oplus EN_{k_r},$$

where $\sum_{i=1}^s p_i + \sum_{j=1}^r k_j = n$

We introduce the following sequence:

$$E^k = \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} E^i E^{k-i}, \quad k \geq 1$$

Definition 2.8. *An evolution algebra E is called nilpotent if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $E^n = 0$ and the minimal such number is called index of nilpotency.*

Theorem 2.9. [1] *Let E be an n -dimensional evolution algebra. Then E is nilpotent if and only if the matrix of structure constants A can be transformed by permutation of the natural basis to the following form:*

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{12} & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1n} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{23} & \dots & a_{2n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{3n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

The next theorem gives the classification of evolution algebras with maximal possible index of nilpotency.

Theorem 2.10. [1] *Any n -dimensional complex evolution algebra with maximal index of nilpotency is isomorphic to one of pairwise non-isomorphic algebras with the following matrix of structural constants*

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & a_{13} & \dots & a_{1,n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & a_{2,n-1} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{3,n-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where one of non-zero a_{ij} can be chosen equal to 1.

The set of all evolution algebras whose matrices of structural constants have the form of Theorem 2.10 will be denoted by ZN^n .

3. MAIN RESULT

First we investigate which evolution algebras of the list of Theorem 2.6 satisfy (or not) the condition P .

Proposition 3.1. *Evolution algebras E_1 and E_4 satisfy the condition P .*

Proof. Since E is a two dimensional, then any non-trivial subalgebra of E is one-dimensional.

Let E'_1 be an one-dimensional subalgebra of E_1 and $E'_1 = \langle x \rangle$ with $x = A_1e_1 + A_2e_2$.

Consider

$$x \cdot x = (A_1e_1 + A_2e_2) \cdot (A_1e_1 + A_2e_2) = A_1^2e_1$$

On the other hand,

$$x \cdot x = \alpha x = \alpha(A_1e_1 + A_2e_2).$$

Then $A_1^2 = \alpha A_1$ and $\alpha A_2 = 0$.

- If $\alpha = 0$, then $A_1 = 0$ and $\{e_2\}$ is the basis of E'_1 . Obviously, this basis is extendable to the natural basis $\{e_1, e_2\}$ of E_1 .
- If $\alpha \neq 0$, then $A_1 = \alpha$, $A_2 = 0$ and $\{e_1\}$ is the basis of E'_1 , which is also extendable to the natural basis of E_1 .

The assertion of proposition regarding the algebra E_4 is carried out in a similar way. □

Proposition 3.2. *Evolution algebras E_2 , E_3 , E_5 and E_6 do not satisfy the condition P .*

Proof.

1. Let E'_2 be a one-dimensional subalgebra of E_2 and $E'_2 = \langle x \rangle$ with $x = A_1e_1 + A_2e_2$. The equality $x \cdot x = \alpha x$ implies $A_1^2 + A_2^2 = \alpha A_1$ and $\alpha A_2 = 0$. We are seeking a subalgebra with a natural basis that can not be extended to a basis of the algebra. Thus, $\alpha = 0$.

We set $A_2 = iA_1$. Then $x = A_1(e_1 + ie_2)$. Let us assume that the basis $\{x\}$ can be extended to the natural basis of E_2 , that is, there exists $y \in E_2$ such that $\{x, y\}$ is a natural basis of E_2 . Let $y = B_1e_1 + B_2e_2$, then

$$0 = x \cdot y = A_1(e_1 + ie_2) \cdot (B_1e_1 + B_2e_2) = A_1(B_1 + iB_2)e_1.$$

Hence $B_2 = iB_1$ and we obtain a contradiction with the linear independence of elements x and y . Thus, the evolution algebra E_2 does not satisfy the condition P .

2. Let $E'_3 = \langle x \rangle$ be a one-dimensional subalgebra of E_3 with $x = A_1e_1 + A_2e_2$. Putting $A_1 = A_2 = 1$ we conclude that $E'_3 = \langle x \rangle$ is a subalgebra. Let us assume that $x = e_1 + e_2$ can be extended to a natural basis of E_3 , then there exists $y = B_1e_1 + B_2e_2$, such that $\{x, y\}$ is a natural basis of E_3 .

From the following equality

$$0 = x \cdot y = (e_1 + e_2) \cdot (B_1e_1 + B_2e_2) = (B_1 - B_2)e_1 + (B_1 - B_2)e_2,$$

we derive $B_2 = B_1$, which is a contradiction with condition of $\{x, y\}$ being a basis.

Therefore, evolution algebra E_3 does not satisfy the condition P .

3. The element $x = A_1e_1 + A_2e_2$ forms a basis of a one-dimensional subalgebra of E_5 . Therefore, $\alpha x = x \cdot x$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$. Note, that the condition $\dim E_5 = 2$ implies $x \cdot x \neq 0$ (consequently $\alpha \neq 0$). Without loss of generality we can assume that $\alpha = 1$. Then $x = x \cdot x$ deduce

$$\begin{cases} A_1^2 + A_2^2 a_3 = A_1, \\ A_1^2 a_2 + A_2^2 = A_2. \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

It is not difficult to check that the system of equation (3.1) has a solution A_1, A_2 such that $A_1 A_2 \neq 0$. Indeed, if $a_2 = a_3 = 0$, then $A_1 = A_2 = 1$ is a solution of the equation (3.1).

Let us assume that $(a_2, a_3) \neq (0, 0)$ then, without loss of generality, we can suppose $a_3 \neq 0$. Then from the equation (3.1) we have

$$A_2 = \frac{A_1}{a_3}((a_2 a_3 - 1)A_1 + 1), \quad (3.2)$$

$$A_1^3 + \frac{2}{a_2 a_3 - 1} A_1^2 + \frac{a_3 + 1}{a_2 a_3 - 1} A_1 - \frac{1}{(a_2 a_3 - 1)^2} = 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Note that the equation (3.3) with respect to A_1 has three solutions and one of them does not equal to $-\frac{1}{a_2 a_3 - 1}$. Recall that all solutions equal to $-\frac{1}{a_2 a_3 - 1}$ has the following cubic equation

$$A_1^3 + \frac{3}{a_2 a_3 - 1} A_1^2 + \frac{3}{(a_2 a_3 - 1)^2} A_1 + \frac{1}{(a_2 a_3 - 1)^3} = 0.$$

Therefore, the equation (3.1) has a solution A_1, A_2 with $A_1 A_2 \neq 0$. Consequently, there exists a subalgebra $E'_5 = \langle x \rangle$ with $x = A_1 e_1 + A_2 e_2$, where $A_1 A_2 \neq 0$.

The basis of this subalgebra can not be extended to a natural basis of E_5 . Indeed, if $y = B_1 e_1 + B_2 e_2$ with condition that $\{x, y\}$ is a natural basis of E , then

$$0 = x \cdot y = (A_1 e_1 + A_2 e_2) \cdot (B_1 e_1 + B_2 e_2) = (A_1 B_1 + A_2 B_2 a_3) e_1 + (A_1 B_1 a_2 + A_2 B_2) e_2,$$

which implies

$$A_1 B_1 + A_2 B_2 a_3 = 0,$$

$$A_1 B_1 a_2 + A_2 B_2 = 0.$$

Since $A_1 A_2 (1 - a_2 a_3) \neq 0$, we get $B_1 = B_2 = 0$. It is a contradiction with condition of $\{x, y\}$ being a basis.

Therefore, the two dimensional evolution algebra E_5 does not satisfy the condition P .

4. The assertion that the algebra E_6 does not satisfy the condition P is carried out by applying similar arguments as for the algebra E_5 . \square

Next, we present a result on preservation of the property P for a direct sum of evolution algebra which satisfy the condition P and abelian algebra.

Proposition 3.3. *Let E be an n -dimensional evolution algebra which satisfies the condition P . Then the evolution algebra $E \oplus \mathbb{C}^k$ also satisfies the condition P .*

Proof. Let $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k\}$ be a basis of $E \oplus \mathbb{C}^k$ and M be an s -dimensional subalgebra of $E \oplus \mathbb{C}^k$. We set $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s\}$ as a basis of M and $x_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{i,j} e_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_{i,j} h_j$.

Consider

$$x_i \cdot x_j = \sum_{t=1}^n \beta_{i,t} \beta_{j,t} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{t,k} e_k, \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq s.$$

Since $x_i \cdot x_j$ belong to M , then the elements $\sum_{t=1}^n \beta_{i,t} \beta_{j,t} \sum_{k=1}^n a_{t,k} e_k$ are expressed by linear combinations of elements $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{i,j} e_j$, $1 \leq i \leq s$. Consider $N = \langle y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s \rangle$. It is easy to see that N is a subalgebra of E of dimension $s' \leq s$.

For the sake of convenience, by renumeration of indexes, we can assume that basis of N is $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{s'}\}$.

If $s' = s$, then using conditions of proposition we can find a natural basis $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_s, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-s}\}$ of E . Thus the following basis $\{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_s, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-s}, h_1, h_2, \dots, h_k\}$ is a natural basis of $E \oplus \mathbb{C}^k$.

If $s' < s$, then by elementary transformation of matrices we conclude

$$\begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1} & \dots & \beta_{1,n} & \gamma_{1,1} & \dots & \gamma_{1,k} \\ \beta_{2,1} & \dots & \beta_{2,n} & \gamma_{2,1} & \dots & \gamma_{2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \beta_{s',1} & \dots & \beta_{s',n} & \gamma_{s',1} & \dots & \gamma_{s',k} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \gamma'_{s'+1,1} & \dots & \gamma'_{s'+1,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \gamma'_{s,1} & \dots & \gamma'_{s,k} \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1} & \dots & \beta_{1,n} & \gamma_{1,1} & \dots & \gamma_{1,k} \\ \beta_{2,1} & \dots & \beta_{2,n} & \gamma_{2,1} & \dots & \gamma_{2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \beta_{s',1} & \dots & \beta_{s',n} & \gamma_{s',1} & \dots & \gamma_{s',k} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \gamma'_{s'+1,1} & \dots & \gamma'_{s'+1,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \gamma'_{s,1} & \dots & \gamma'_{s,k} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, the following elements

$$x'_i = \begin{cases} \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{i,j} e_j + \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma_{i,j} h_j & 1 \leq i \leq s' \\ \sum_{j=1}^k \gamma'_{i,j} h_j & s' + 1 \leq i \leq s \end{cases}$$

form a natural basis of M .

Now, we show that this basis is extendable to a natural basis of $E \oplus \mathbb{C}^k$. Due to N being a subalgebra of E , we derive the existence of a natural basis $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{s'}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-s'}\}$ of E . It is not difficult to check that the following basis

$$\{x'_1, x'_2, \dots, x'_{s'}, z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{n-s'}, x'_{s'+1}, x'_{s'+2}, \dots, x'_s, h'_1, h'_2, \dots, h'_{k+s'-s}\}$$

is a natural basis of $E \oplus \mathbb{C}^k$, where $\{h'_1, h'_2, \dots, h'_{k+s'-s}\}$ are the complementary basis elements to $\{x'_{s'+1}, x'_{s'+2}, \dots, x'_s\}$ in \mathbb{C}^k . \square

Let E be an n -dimensional evolution algebra such that $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$, where E_1 and E_2 are the evolution subalgebras of E .

Proposition 3.4. *Let E be a algebra satisfying the condition P . Then the subalgebras E_1 and E_2 also satisfy the condition P .*

Proof. Let E'_1 be a subalgebra of E_1 , then E'_1 is a subalgebra of E . Therefore there exist a natural basis $\{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_m\}$ of E'_1 which can be extended to a natural basis $\{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_m, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \dots, x_n\}$ of E . Since $E = E_1 \oplus E_2$, then $x_j = y_j + z_j$ with $y_j \in E_1$, $z_j \in E_2$, $m+1 \leq j \leq n$. From $e'_i \cdot x_k = 0$ and $x_k \cdot x_t = 0$ we deduce $e'_i \cdot y_k = 0$ and $y_k \cdot y_t = z_k \cdot z_t = 0$. Since $\{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_m, x_{m+1}, x_{m+2}, \dots, x_n\}$ is a basis of E , then any element of E_1 belongs to $\langle e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_m, y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_n \rangle$. From the elements $y_{m+1}, y_{m+2}, \dots, y_n$ we choose some such that $\{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_m, y_{j_1}, y_{j_2}, \dots, y_{j_k}\}$ is a basis of E_1 . Thus, E_1 satisfies the condition P . \square

The next example shows that the converse assertion of Proposition 3.4 is not true in general.

Example 3.5. Let E be a 4-dimensional evolution algebra defined by a direct sum of two-dimensional evolution algebras E_1 and E_2 , where

$$E_1 : e_1 \cdot e_1 = e_2; \quad E_2 : e_3 \cdot e_3 = e_4.$$

Clearly, E_1 and E_2 are algebras satisfying the condition P , but E not. Indeed, the subalgebra $L = \langle e_1 + e_3, e_2 + e_4 \rangle$ is not an evolution subalgebra.

In the following proposition we identify evolution algebras with the condition P among the algebras of the type $E_{n,\pi}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$.

Proposition 3.6. *Let E be an n -dimensional evolution algebra of the type $E_{n,\pi}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$ which satisfies the condition P . Then E is isomorphic to one of the following non-isomorphic algebras:*

$$ES_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \quad EN_s \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-s}, \quad ES_1 \oplus EN_s \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-s-1}.$$

Proof. Let E be an algebra of the type $E_{n,\pi}(a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n)$, then by Theorem 2.7 we have

$$E \cong ES_{p_1} \oplus ES_{p_2} \oplus \dots \oplus ES_{p_s} \oplus EN_{k_1} \oplus EN_{k_2} \oplus \dots \oplus EN_{k_r}.$$

Proposition 3.4 we obtain that the algebras ES_{p_i} and EN_{k_i} satisfy the condition P .

If there exists $p_j \geq 2$ with $1 \leq j \leq s$ then, we have

$$ES_{p_j} : \begin{cases} e_i \cdot e_i = e_{i+1}, & 1 \leq i \leq p_j - 1, \\ e_{p_j} \cdot e_{p_j} = e_1, \end{cases}$$

This algebra does not satisfy the condition P , because the one-dimensional subalgebra $\langle x \rangle$ with $x = e_1 + e_2 + \dots + e_{p_j}$ is not an evolution subalgebra. Thus, $p_j = 1$ for any $j \in \{1, \dots, s\}$.

If there exist i and j such that $p_i = p_j = 1$, then from Example 3.5 we conclude that E does not satisfy the condition P . Therefore, we can assume $p_1 = 1$ and $p_j = 0$ for $2 \leq j \leq s$.

Let us suppose that there exist i and j such that $k_i \geq 2, k_j \geq 2$. Without loss of generality we can suppose $i = 1, j = 2$ and $k_1 \geq k_2$. We denote $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_{k_1}\}$ and $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_{k_2}\}$ the basis of EN_{k_1} and EN_{k_2} , respectively. Then $M = \langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k_2} \rangle$ with $x_i = e_{k_1-k_2+i} + f_i, 1 \leq i \leq k_2$ form a subalgebra of E with the following products

$$x_i \cdot x_i = x_{i+1}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k_2 - 1, \quad x_{k_2} \cdot x_{k_2} = 0.$$

It is not difficult to check that M is not an evolution subalgebra. Thus, we get a contradiction with the assumption that there exist i and j such that $k_i \geq 2, k_j \geq 2$. Therefore, we can assume $k_j = 1$ for $2 \leq j \leq r$.

Since EN_1 is a one-dimensional algebra with trivial multiplication, then by Proposition 3.3 it is enough to consider the case $s = r = 1$, that is, we reduce the study to $ES_p \oplus EN_k$ with $p \in \{0, 1\}$.

- In the case of $p = 1$ and $k = 1$ we obtain the algebra $ES_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$;
- In the case of $p = 1$ and $k \geq 2$ we obtain the algebra $ES_1 \oplus EN_k \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-k-1}$;
- In the case of $p = 0$, we obtain the algebra $EN_k \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$.

It is not difficult to check that all obtained algebras $ES_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, EN_s \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-s}, ES_1 \oplus EN_s \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-s-1}$ satisfy the condition P . □

4. NILPOTENT CASE.

Let E be an n -dimensional non-abelian evolution algebra with a natural basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$. By transformation of the basic elements we get the following table of multiplication

$$e_i^2 \neq 0, \quad 1 \leq i \leq k, \quad e_i^2 = 0, \quad k+1 \leq i \leq n, \quad k \leq n. \quad (4.1)$$

We consider the notation given in Theorem 2.9.

Proposition 4.1. *Let $\text{rank}(A) < k$. Then E does not satisfy the condition P .*

Proof. We shall prove the statement of proposition by the contrary. Let us assume that $\text{rank}(A) = s < k$, then there exist the indexes i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s such that the elements $e_{i_1}^2, e_{i_2}^2, \dots, e_{i_s}^2$ are linearly independent. For the sake of convenience we shall assume that $e_1^2, e_2^2, \dots, e_s^2$ are linearly independent.

Consider the non-trivial linear combination

$$\alpha_1 e_1^2 + \alpha_2 e_2^2 + \dots + \alpha_s e_s^2 + \alpha_{s+1} e_{s+1}^2 = 0.$$

Since $\alpha_{s+1} \neq 0$ (otherwise we obtain trivial linear combination) we get

$$e_{s+1}^2 = -\frac{\alpha_1}{\alpha_{s+1}} e_1^2 - \frac{\alpha_2}{\alpha_{s+1}} e_2^2 - \dots - \frac{\alpha_s}{\alpha_{s+1}} e_s^2.$$

Due to existence $\alpha_i \neq 0$ for some $1 \leq i \leq s$, without loss of generality, we can assume $\alpha_1 \neq 0$.

For the element $x = \sqrt{\alpha_1} e_1 + \sqrt{\alpha_2} e_2 + \dots + \sqrt{\alpha_s} e_s + \sqrt{\alpha_{s+1}} e_{s+1}$ we have $x \cdot x = 0$. Hence, $\langle x \rangle$ is an one-dimensional subalgebra. Consequently, there exist a natural basis $\{x, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ of E .

Let us introduce the following denotations

$$y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{i,j} e_j, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} 0 = x \cdot y_i &= \left(\sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \sqrt{\alpha_j} e_j \right) \cdot \left(\sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{i,j} e_j \right) = \sum_{j=1}^{s+1} \sqrt{\alpha_j} \beta_{i,j} e_j^2 = \\ &= \sum_{j=1}^s \sqrt{\alpha_j} \beta_{i,j} e_j^2 - \sqrt{\alpha_{s+1}} \beta_{i,s+1} \sum_{j=1}^s \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_{s+1}} e_j^2 = \sum_{j=1}^s \left(\sqrt{\alpha_j} \beta_{i,j} - \sqrt{\alpha_{s+1}} \beta_{i,s+1} \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_{s+1}} \right) e_j^2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sqrt{\alpha_j} \beta_{i,j} - \sqrt{\alpha_{s+1}} \beta_{i,s+1} \frac{\alpha_j}{\alpha_{s+1}} = 0, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s. \quad (4.2)$$

For $j = 1$ in the restrictions (4.2) we obtain

$$\beta_{i,s+1} = \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{s+1}}{\alpha_1}} \beta_{i,1}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq n.$$

We have that $\{x, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ are two bases of E . Then the matrix of change of basis has the following form:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\alpha_1} & \dots & \sqrt{\alpha_s} & \sqrt{\alpha_{s+1}} & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ \beta_{2,1} & \dots & \beta_{2,s} & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{s+1}}{\alpha_1}} \beta_{2,1} & \beta_{2,s+2} & \dots & \beta_{2,n} \\ \beta_{3,1} & \dots & \beta_{3,s} & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{s+1}}{\alpha_1}} \beta_{3,1} & \beta_{3,s+2} & \dots & \beta_{3,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \beta_{n,1} & \dots & \beta_{n,s} & \sqrt{\frac{\alpha_{s+1}}{\alpha_1}} \beta_{n,1} & \beta_{n,s+2} & \dots & \beta_{n,n} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since $\det(B) = 0$ we get a contradiction. Thus, the algebra E does not satisfy the condition P . \square

In the following theorem we describe nilpotent evolution algebras which satisfy the condition P .

Theorem 4.2. *An arbitrary nilpotent evolution algebra satisfying the condition P is isomorphic to*

$$\tilde{E} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-k},$$

where $\tilde{E} \in ZN^k$.

Proof. Let E be a nilpotent evolution algebra satisfying the condition P with the table of multiplication (4.1). Then the matrix A has the form:

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & a_{1,2} & a_{1,3} & \dots & a_{1,k+1} & \dots & a_{1,n} \\ 0 & 0 & a_{2,3} & \dots & a_{2,k+1} & \dots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & a_{k,k+1} & \dots & a_{k,n} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Putting $e'_{k+1} = \sum_{j=k+1}^n a_{k,j} e_j$ we can assume $e_k^2 = e_{k+1}$, that is, we can always suppose $a_{k,k+1} = 1$ and $a_{k,j} = 0$ for $k+2 \leq j \leq n$.

Let $a_{1,2}a_{2,3} \dots a_{k-1,k} = 0$ be. Then we denote by t the greatest number such that $a_{t,t+1} = 0$, i.e., $a_{i,i+1} \neq 0$ for $t+1 \leq i \leq k+1$. If $a_{i,i+1} = 0$ for all $1 \leq i \leq k-1$, then we put $t = k$.

Consider the subalgebra $E_1 = \langle e_t + e_{t+1}, e_{t+2}, \dots, e_n \rangle$. Then there exists a natural basis $\{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_t, e_t + e_{t+1}, e_{t+2}, \dots, e_n\}$ of E .

We set $y_i = \sum_{j=1}^n \beta_{i,j} e_j$ with $1 \leq i \leq t$. Then

$$0 = (e_t + e_{t+1}) \cdot y_i = \beta_{i,t} e_t^2 + \beta_{i,t+1} e_{t+1}^2.$$

Due to Proposition 4.1 we conclude $\text{rank}(A) = k$. It implies that e_t^2 and e_{t+1}^2 are linearly independent. Therefore, $\beta_{i,t} = \beta_{i,t+1} = 0$, $1 \leq i \leq t$. We have two bases in E : $\{x, y_2, y_3, \dots, y_n\}$ and $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$. Then, the matrix of changes of basis has the following form:

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,1} & \dots & \beta_{1,t-1} & 0 & 0 & \beta_{1,t+2} & \dots & \beta_{1,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \beta_{t,1} & \dots & \beta_{t,t-1} & 0 & 0 & \beta_{t,t+2} & \dots & \beta_{t,n} \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence, $\det(B) = 0$ and we get a contradiction. Therefore, $a_{1,2}a_{2,3} \dots a_{k-1,k} \neq 0$.

Taking the following change of basis:

$$\begin{cases} e'_1 = a_{1,2}^{-1/2} a_{2,3}^{-1/4} \dots a_{k-1,k}^{-1/2^{k-1}} e_1, \\ e'_2 = a_{2,3}^{-1/2} a_{3,4}^{-1/4} \dots a_{k-1,k}^{-1/2^{k-2}} e_2, \\ \dots\dots\dots \\ e'_{k-1} = a_{k-1,k}^{-1/2} e_{k-1}, \\ e'_i = e_i, \end{cases} \quad k \leq i \leq n,$$

we can suppose $a_{1,2} = a_{2,3} = \dots = a_{k-1,k} = 1$.

Moreover, the basis transformation

$$e''_j = e'_j + \sum_{i=k+2}^n a_{j-1,i} e'_i + \sum_{i=k+2}^n \left(\sum_{t=j}^{k-1} a_{t,i} \left(\sum_{p=1}^{t-j+1} (-1)^p \prod_{h=1}^p a_{j-2+h,t+1-p+h} \right) \right) e'_i, \quad 2 \leq j \leq k,$$

implies that the algebra E belongs to the family of algebras $ZN^{k+1} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-k-1}$. Taking into account the result of Proposition 3.3 it is enough to prove that any evolution algebra of the set ZN^n satisfies the condition P .

Indeed, if a subalgebra M of \tilde{E} (where $\tilde{E} \in ZN^k$) contains an element $e_j + \sum_{s=j+1}^k \beta_s e_s$, then $\{e_j, e_{j+1}, \dots, e_k\} \subseteq M$. Hence, the algebra \tilde{E} has only subalgebras of the form $E_i = \langle e_i, e_{i+1}, \dots, e_k \rangle$. It is not difficult to see that the subalgebras E_i are the evolution subalgebras of \tilde{E} . \square

5. CONJECTURES

In this section we formulate two related conjectures. The positive answer to the first conjecture implies a positive answer for the second one. In fact, the correctness of the second conjecture close the description of evolution algebras which satisfy the condition P .

Conjecture 5.1. *Let $A = (a_{i,j})_{1 \leq i,j \leq n}$ be a complex invertible matrix. Then the following system of equations*

$$\begin{pmatrix} x_1^2 \\ x_2^2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n^2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{2,1} & \dots & a_{n,1} \\ a_{1,2} & a_{2,2} & \dots & a_{n,2} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{1,n} & a_{2,n} & \dots & a_{n,n} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ \vdots \\ x_n \end{pmatrix} \quad (5.1)$$

has a solution (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) such that $x_i \neq 0$ for all i .

- If $n = 1$, then this conjecture is evidently true.
- If $n = 2$, then we consider subcases:
 - (1) Subcase $(a_{1,2}, a_{2,1}) = (0, 0)$. Then $a_{1,1}a_{2,2} \neq 0$ and we have a solution $x_1 = a_{1,1}, x_2 = a_{2,2}$.
 - (2) Subcase $(a_{1,2}, a_{2,1}) \neq (0, 0)$. Then, without loss of generality, we can assume $a_{1,2} \neq 0$. Putting $x_2 = \frac{1}{a_{1,2}}(x_1^2 - a_{1,1}x_1)$, we get

$$x_1(x_1^3 - 2a_{1,1}x_1^2 + (a_{1,1}^2 - a_{1,2}a_{2,2})x_1 + a_{1,2}(a_{1,2}a_{2,1} - a_{2,2}a_{1,1})) = 0. \quad (5.2)$$

Since $a_{1,2}(a_{1,2}a_{2,1} - a_{2,2}a_{1,1}) \neq 0$, the equation (5.2) has three non-trivial solution. Moreover,

$$x_1^3 - 2a_{1,1}x_1^2 + (a_{1,1}^2 - a_{1,2}a_{2,2})x_1 + a_{1,2}(a_{1,2}a_{2,1} - a_{2,2}a_{1,1}) \neq (x - a_{1,1})^3.$$

From this inequality we deduce that equation (5.2) has a solution x_1 different from 0 and $a_{1,1}$. Hence, $x_2 = \frac{1}{a_{1,2}}(x_1^2 - a_{1,1}x_1) \neq 0$.

Thus, Conjecture 5.1 for the case $n = 2$ is correct, as well.

Now we present two consequences of Conjecture 5.1 about the description of evolution algebras satisfying the condition P .

Conjecture 5.2. *Let E be an n -dimensional ($n \geq 2$) evolution algebra with natural basis $\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n\}$ and an invertible matrix A . Then E does not satisfy the condition P .*

Indeed, if we consider $x \cdot x = x$ with $x = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i e_i$, then comparing the coefficients at the basic elements e_i , we obtain the system of equations (5.1). Due to $\det A \neq 0$ and according to Conjecture 5.1 we get the existence of a solution (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) such that $x_i \neq 0$ for all i . Therefore, $E_1 = \langle x \rangle$ is a subalgebra of E . However, this subalgebra is not an evolution subalgebra and the assumption of Conjecture 5.2 is correct.

Conjecture 5.3. *Let E be an n -dimensional non-nilpotent evolution algebra which satisfying the condition P . Then E is isomorphic to one of the following, pairwise non-isomorphic, algebras:*

$$ES_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}, \quad ES_1 \oplus \tilde{E} \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-s-1},$$

where $\tilde{E} \in ZN^s$ is a nilpotent evolution algebra with maximal index of nilpotency.

Explanation of Conjecture 5.3.

Let E be an n -dimensional non-nilpotent evolution algebra satisfying the condition P and with the table of multiplication (4.1).

Note that the table of multiplication (4.1) for $k = 1$ give the algebra $ES_1 \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-1}$. Therefore, further we shall assume $k \geq 2$.

Let us introduce the denotations $x_{s,t} = (a_{s,1}, a_{s,2}, \dots, a_{s,t})$ with $1 \leq s \leq t$ and $1 \leq t \leq k$.

Note that there are no s' and s'' such that $x_{s',k} = x_{s'',k} = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. In fact, if there exist s' and s'' , then the subalgebra $E_1 = \langle e_{s'} + e_{s''}, e_{k+1}, \dots, e_n \rangle$ is not an evolution subalgebra.

It is not difficult to see that the non-zero vectors $x_{s_1,k}, x_{s_2,k}, \dots, x_{s_t,k}$ are linearly independent. Otherwise there exist a non-trivial linear combination

$$\alpha_1 x_{s_1,k} + \alpha_2 x_{s_2,k} + \dots + \alpha_t x_{s_t,k} = 0,$$

and the subalgebra $E_1 = \langle \sqrt{\alpha_1} e_{s_1} + \sqrt{\alpha_2} e_{s_2} + \dots + \sqrt{\alpha_t} e_{s_t}, e_{k+1}, e_{k+2}, \dots, e_n \rangle$ is not an evolution subalgebra.

Iteration 1. Let us assume that all the vectors $x_{s,k}$ are non-zero (there are k -pieces), then the determinant of the main minor of the order k is non-zero.

Then taking the change $e'_i = e_i + \sum_{j=k+1}^n \beta_{i,j} e_j$, $1 \leq i \leq k$, where $\beta_{i,j}$ can be find from the following equation

$$\begin{pmatrix} a_{1,1} & a_{1,2} & \dots & a_{1,k} \\ a_{2,1} & a_{2,2} & \dots & a_{2,k} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{k,1} & a_{k,2} & \dots & a_{k,k} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \beta_{1,k+1} & \beta_{1,k+2} & \dots & \beta_{1,n} \\ \beta_{2,k+1} & \beta_{2,k+2} & \dots & \beta_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ \beta_{k,k+1} & \beta_{k,k+2} & \dots & \beta_{k,n} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a_{1,k+1} & a_{1,k+2} & \dots & a_{1,n} \\ a_{2,k+1} & a_{2,k+2} & \dots & a_{2,n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ a_{k,k+1} & a_{k,k+2} & \dots & a_{k,n} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (5.3)$$

we obtain that the evolution algebra E is isomorphic to the algebra $E' \oplus \mathbb{C}^{n-k}$. The basis $\{e'_1, e'_2, \dots, e'_k\}$ is a natural basis of the evolution algebra E' . Due to Proposition 3.3 the evolution algebra E' should

If $(a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}, \dots, a_{1,k}) \neq (0, 0, \dots, 0)$, then there exists a solution (x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) such that $x_i \neq 0$ for some $2 \leq i \leq k+1$. Similarly as above we conclude that the evolution algebra E does not satisfy the condition P .

Thus, we get $(a_{1,2}, a_{1,3}, \dots, a_{1,k}) = (0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Hence the n -dimensional non-nilpotent evolution algebra E satisfying the condition P is isomorphic to one of the following, pairwise non-isomorphic, algebras:

$$ES_1 \oplus C^{n-1}, \quad ES_1 \oplus \tilde{E} \oplus C^{n-s-1}, \quad \tilde{E} \in ZN^s.$$

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to Professor A.S. Dzhumadil'daev for formulation of the problem considered in the paper. This work is supported by the Grant No.0251/GF3 of Education and Science Ministry of Republic of Kazakhstan. The second named author was partially supported by IMU/CDC-program, and would like to acknowledge the hospitality of the Instituto de Matemáticas de la Universidad de Sevilla (Spain).

REFERENCES

- [1] Camacho L.M., Gómez J.R., Omirov B.A., Turdibaev R.M. *Some properties of evolution algebras*, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., vol. 50(5), 2013, p. 1481–1494.
- [2] Camacho L.M., Gómez J.R., Omirov B.A., Turdibaev R.M. *The derivations of some evolution algebras*, Linear Mult. Alg., vol. 61(3), 2012, p. 309–322.
- [3] Casas J.M., Ladra M., Omirov B.A., Rozikov U.A. *On nilpotent index and dibaricity of evolution algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., vol. 439, 2013, p. 90–105.
- [4] Casas J.M., Ladra M., Omirov B.A., Rozikov U.A. *On evolution algebras*, Algebra Colloquium, vol. 21(2), 2014, p. 331–342.
- [5] Casas J. M., Ladra M., Rozikov U. A. *Chain of evolution algebras*, Linear Algebra Appl., vol. 435(4), 2011, p. 852–870.
- [6] Etherington I.M.H., *Genetic algebras*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, vol. 59, 1939, p. 242–258.
- [7] Etherington I.M.H., *Non-associative algebra and the symbolism of genetics*, Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh, vol. 61, 1941, p. 24–42.
- [8] Khudoyberdiyev A. Kh., Omirov B.A., Qaralleh I. *Few remarks on evolution algebras*, arXiv:1307.0993v1.
- [9] Ladra M., Omirov B.A., Rozikov U.A. *On dibaric and evolution algebras*, arXiv:1104.2578v1.
- [10] Lyubich Y.I. *Mathematical structures in population genetics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [11] Reed M.L. *Algebraic structure of genetic inheritance*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. (N.S.), vol. 34(2), 1997, p. 107–130.
- [12] Rozikov U.A., Tian J.P. *Evolution algebras generated by Gibbs measures*, Lobachevskii Jour. Math., vol. 32(4), 2011, p. 270–277.
- [13] Serebrowsky A. *On the properties of the Mendelian equations*, Doklady A.N.SSSR, vol. 2, 1934, p. 33–36 (in Russian).
- [14] Tian J.P. *Evolution algebras and their applications*, Lecture Notes in Math., 1921. Springer, Berlin, 2008.
- [15] Wörz-Busekros A. *Algebras in genetics*, Lecture Notes in Biomathematics 36, Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1980.

[L. M. CAMACHO] DPTO. MATEMÁTICA APLICADA I. UNIVERSIDAD DE SEVILLA. AVDA. REINA MERCEDES, S/N. 41012 SEVILLA. (SPAIN)

E-mail address: `lcamacho@us.es`

[A. KH. KHUDOYBERDIYEV AND B. A. OMIROV] INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS, NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF UZBEKISTAN, TASHKENT, 100125, UZBEKISTAN.

E-mail address: `khabror@mail.ru, omirovb@mail.ru`